

1 **Extracellular vesicles microRNA analysis in type 1 autoimmune pancreatitis: increased expression of**

2 **microRNA-21**

3 **Short title: miR-21 and type 1 AIP**

4 Koh Nakamaru^a, Takashi Tomiyama^{a*}, Sanshiro Kobayashi^a, Manami Ikemune^a, Satoshi Tsukuda^a,

5 Takashi Ito^a, Toshihiro Tanaka^a, Takashi Yamaguchi^a, Yugo Ando^a, Tsukasa Ikeura^a, Toshiro Fukui^a,

6 Akiyoshi Nishio^a, Makoto Takaoka^a, Kazushige Uchida^b, Patrick S.C. Leung^c, M.E. Gershwin^c, Kazuichi

7 Okazaki^a

8 ^aThird Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kansai Medical

9 University, 2-5-1 Shinmachi, Hirakata, Osaka, 573-1010, Japan

10 ^b Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University, Kohasu Oko-

11 cho, Nankoku, Kochi, 783-8505, Japan

12 ^cDivision of Rheumatology, Allergy and Clinical Immunology, University of California at Davis, 451

13 Health Sciences Drive Suite 6510, Davis, CA, 95616, USA

14 *Corresponding Author

15 Takashi Tomiyama, M.D. Ph.D. tomiyata@hirakata.kmu.ac.jp

16 4343 words

1 **Author Contributions**

2 Koh Nakamaru and Takashi Tomiyama designed the study and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript.

3 Sanshiro Kobayashi, Manami Ikemune , Satoshi Tsukuda, Takashi Ito, Toshihiro Tanaka, Kazushige Uchida,

4 Takashi Yamaguchi, Yugo Ando, Tsukasa Ikeura, Toshiro Fukui, Akiyoshi Nishio, Makoto Takaoka, Patrick

5 S.C. Leung, M.E. Gershwin, and Kazuichi Okazaki contributed to analysis and interpretation of data, and

6 assisted in the preparation of the manuscript. All other authors have contributed to data collection and

7 interpretation, and critically reviewed the manuscript.

8

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background**

3 The molecular basis of type I autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) remains unclear. Recent attention on the role
4 of extracellular vesicles microRNA (EV miRNA) in immune homeostasis has prompted us to perform an
5 extensive miRNA screening of serum-derived EV in type 1 AIP patients.

6 **Methods**

7 Serum EV miRNA expression was analyzed using microarrays in type 1 AIP patients (n = 10) and healthy
8 adults (n = 10). Differences in signals >3 times or < 1/3 represented significant differences in expression.

9 **Results**

10 The signals of eight miRNAs (miR-659-3p, -27a-3p, -99a-5p, -21-5p, -205-5p, -100-5p -29c-3p, and -
11 125b-1-3p) were significantly higher and two miRNAs (miR-4252 and -5004-5p) were significantly
12 lower in type 1 AIP patients than in healthy adults. Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain
13 reaction revealed that EV miR-21-5p was significantly upregulated in type 1 AIP patients compared with
14 that in healthy adults (P = 0.04). In situ hybridization study of miR-21-5p using tissue specimens revealed
15 high miR-21 expression in various cell populations such as pancreatic acinar, and inflammatory cells in
16 the pancreas of type 1 AIP patients.

1 **Conclusions**

2 Circulating extracellular vesicles exhibit altered patterns of miRNA expression with elevated miRNA-21-
3 5p in type 1 AIP patients compared with that in healthy adults. Further, miRNA-21-5p was highly
4 expressed in various cell populations of the pancreas in type 1 AIP patients. Our data suggests that
5 miRNA-21-5p levels may be involved in the regulation of effector pathways in the pathophysiology of
6 AIP and potentially a disease marker for monitoring disease interventions.

7

8

1 **Keywords**

2 microarray; RT-PCR; in situ hybridization; inflammatory disease

3

4 **Abbreviations:** EV, extracellular vesicle; AIP, autoimmune pancreatitis; HC, healthy control; CP, chronic

5 pancreatitis; RNA, ribonucleic acid; miR, micro RNA; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain

6 reaction; rpm, rotations per minute; Cel-miR-39, *Caenorhabditis elegans* miRNA-39 ; TAPA-1, Target of

7 the Antiproliferative Antibody 1; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; TBS, Tris buffered saline; WBC, white

8 blood cell; IgG, immunoglobulin G; CRP, C-reactive protein; P-Amy, pancreatic Amylase; CEA,

9 carcinoembryonic antigen; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; IL-4, Interleukin-4; Gata3, GATA

10 binding protein 3; PDCD4, Programmed cell death 4; NF- κ B, nuclear factor κ B; ISH, in situ

11 hybridization; PTEN, Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog Deleted from Chromosome 10; FOXO1,

12 Forkhead box protein O1; N/A, not available

13

14

15

1 **Introduction**

2 Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are secreted by various cell populations into body fluids such as serum,
3 urine, airway secretions, and breast milk ¹⁻⁶. These vesicles contain nucleic acids, including microRNA,
4 and proteins derived from the cells from which they were secreted. EVs engage in cell-to-cell
5 communication by transporting their contents to recipient cells ^{1,2}. EVs not only maintain immune
6 homeostasis ³⁻⁶ but are also involved in the pathophysiology of several autoimmune diseases ⁷⁻¹¹.

7

8 Type 1 autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) is a distinctive type of pancreatitis characterized by the diffuse
9 irregular narrowing of the main pancreatic duct, sausage-like diffuse swelling of the pancreas, and
10 immune-cell infiltration with high serum titers of IgG and IgG4¹². It has been more than three decades
11 since the initial case reports of chronic pancreatitis with hypergammaglobulinemia ¹³, and subsequently
12 the specific diagnosis of AIP was described by Yoshida et al ¹⁴. More recently, AIP has been classified
13 into two subtypes: type 1 AIP, lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis (LPSP), and type 2 AIP or
14 idiopathic duct-centric chronic pancreatitis (IDCP) with granulocytic epithelial lesions (GEL) ¹⁵. Type 1
15 AIP is regarded as a pancreatic manifestation of IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD) ¹⁶. The
16 fibroinflammatory processes underlying type 1 AIP respond well to steroids and/or immunosuppressive

1 agents¹⁷; therefore, an abnormal immune response is considered the core for the pathogenesis of
2 disease¹⁸. Although studies have indicated that the loss of tolerance via the adaptive immune system¹⁹
3 and epigenetic changes²⁰ contribute to the development of AIP, there are still major void in the
4 understanding of the effector mechanisms underlying this uncommon autoimmune disease. To address
5 this, we performed a comparative analysis of a well-defined cohort with AIP compared to age and sex-
6 matched controls to examine miRNA expression in circulating EVs.

7

8 **Methods**

9 *Clinical samples*

10 This study included patients with type 1 AIP (n = 23) diagnosed according to the international consensus
11 diagnostic criteria¹⁵, patients with chronic pancreatitis (CPs) (n = 23) diagnosed according to Japanese
12 clinical diagnostic criteria²¹, and age and sex-matched healthy controls (HCs) (n = 23). The clinical
13 characteristics of all subjects are listed in Table 1. All study subjects provided written informed consent
14 approved by the ethics committee of Kansai Medical University for this study. AIP patients have not been
15 treated with any steroids or immunosuppressive agents before any assays. Ten subjects from each three
16 groups were subjected to microarray analysis. Ten subjects other than microarray from each three groups

1 were subjected to RT-PCR. The surgical specimens of type 1 AIP patients (n=3) and chronic pancreatitis
2 patients (n=3) were used for in situ hybridization. Surgical specimens in normal lesion of epidermoid cyst
3 was study in parallel as healthy control (n=3).

4 ***Serum EV isolation.***

5 EVs were isolated using the ExoQuick precipitation kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions
6 (System Biosciences, CA, USA). Briefly, 500 μ L of serum was mixed with ExoQuick and incubated for
7 30 min at 4°C. After centrifuging at 13,000 rpm for 4 min and removing the supernatant, the EV pellet
8 was stored at -80°C until use for further analysis. The isolated EVs were characterized by analysis of
9 CD9, CD63 ,CD81 and GM130 expression using western blotting (Fig. 1).

10 ***miRNA microarray analysis***

11 Samples from patients with type 1 AIP, HC, and CP (n=10 of each) were used for microarray analysis,
12 RNA extraction, and miRNA expression profiling. Total RNA was extracted from liquid samples using
13 3D-Gene RNA extraction reagent (Toray Industries Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and the miRNeasy mini kit
14 (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted total RNA was labeled
15 using the 3D-Gene miRNA labeling kit (Toray Industries Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Labeled RNAs were
16 hybridized onto the 3D-Gene Human miRNA Oligo chip (Toray Industries Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The

1 annotation and oligonucleotide sequences of the probes were confirmed using the miRBase
2 (<http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences/>). After cycles of stringent washes, fluorescence signals were
3 scanned using the 3D-Gene Scanner (Toray Industries Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed using 3D-Gene
4 Extraction software (Toray Industries Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The relative expression level of a given miRNA
5 was calculated by comparing the signal intensities of the valid spots for all microarray experiments. The
6 data were globally normalized per array, such that the median of the signal intensity was adjusted to 25.

7 ***Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)***

8 EV RNAs were quantified in an additional 10 samples, each, of type 1 AIP, HC, and CP. Total RNAs
9 were purified using the miRNeasy Serum/Plasma Kit (Qiagen, Germany). Because a standard internal
10 control miRNA for EVs is not available, *Caenorhabditis elegans* miRNA (Cel-miR-39) was used as a
11 standard control²². EVs were resuspended in 200 μ l of PBS and thereafter 1,000 μ l of QIAzol Lysis
12 Reagent was added, followed by chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. The total RNAs were
13 measured using the NanoDrop 2000 and Cel-miR-39 (5.6×10^8 copies) was added. Reverse
14 transcription reaction was performed using the miScript II RT kit (Qiagen, Germany). The miRNAs were
15 quantified by real-time PCR using the miScript SYBER Green PCR kit (Qiagen, Germany) and the
16 Rotor-Gene Q2 system (Qiagen, Germany). The expression of EV miRNA was normalized to that of cel-

1 miR-39 and determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. The primer sequences used were as follows: 5'-
2 UCACCGGGUGUAAAUCAGCUUG -3'(Cel-miR-39), 5'- UAGCUUAUCAGACUGAUGUUGA -
3 3'(miR-21-5p), 5'- UUCACAGUGGCUAAGUCCGC -3' (miR-27a-3p), 5'-
4 UAGCACCAUUUGAAAUCGGUUA -3' (miR-29c-3p), 5'- AACCCGUAGAUCCGAUCUUGUG -3'
5 (miR-99a-5p), 5'- AACCCGUAGAUCCGAACUUGUG -3' (miR-100-5p), 5'-
6 ACGGGUUAGGCUCUUGGGAGCU -3' (miR-125b-1-3p), 5'- UCCUUCAUCCACCGGAGUCUG -
7 3' (miR-205-5p), 5'- CUUGGUUCAGGGAGGGUCCCCA -3' (miR-659-3p), 5'-
8 GGCCACUGAGUCAGCACCA -3' (miR-4252) and 5'- UGAGGACAGGGCAAAUUCACGA -3' (miR-
9 5004-5p) (miScript Primer Assays(Qiagen, Germany)).

10 ***In situ hybridization***

11 Tissue sections (6 μ m) were de-paraffined with xylene and rehydrated by an ethanol series and PBS. The
12 sections were fixed with 10% formalin in PBS for 30 min at 37°C and washed with distilled water.
13 Thereafter, the sections were placed in 0.2 M HCl for 10 min at 37°C, washed with PBS, treated with 4
14 μ g/ml Proteinase K in PBS for 10 min at 37°C, and washed with PBS again. Then, the sections were heat-
15 treated with PBS for 5 min at 80°C, cooled immediately in cold PBS, and washed with 1x Standard Saline
16 Citrate. Hybridization was carried out with digoxigenin labeled probes at concentrations of 18 nM in

1 probe diluent (Genostaff Co., Ltd.) for 16 h at 60°C. The hybridization sequences were as follows: 5'-
2 TCAACATCAGTCTGATAAGCTA-3' (miR-21-5p) and 5'-GTGTAACACGTCTATACGCCCA-3'
3 (scramble) (Qiagen, Germany). After hybridization, the sections were washed 3 times with 50%
4 formamide in 2x Standard Saline Citrate for 30 min at 50°C and washed 5 times in TBST (0.1% Tween
5 20 in TBS) at room temperature. After treatment with 1x blocking reagent (Genostaff Co., Ltd.) for 15
6 min at room temperature, the sections were incubated with anti-DIG AP conjugate (Roche Diagnostics)
7 diluted 1:2000 with x50 blocking reagent (Genostaff Co., Ltd.) in TBST for 1 h at room temperature.
8 Next, the sections were washed twice with TBST and then incubated in 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl₂,
9 0.1% Tween 20, and 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.5). Coloring reactions were performed with NBT/BCIP
10 solution (Sigma-Aldrich) overnight and then the sections were washed with PBS. The sections were
11 mounted with G-Mount (Genostaff Co., Ltd.).

12 *Statistical analysis*

13 Mann-Whitney U test and Pearson's correlation test were used for data analysis; P values < 0.05 were
14 considered to represent statistically significant differences.

15

16 **Results**

1 ***EV miRNA expression profiles***

2 We analyzed EVs from patients with type 1 AIP and HCs using microarray analysis. In total, 165
3 miRNAs were found to be differentially expressed in patients with type 1 AIP relative to those in HCs.
4 Further, 132 miRNAs were upregulated and 33 were downregulated in type 1 AIP patients compared with
5 those in HCs, respectively (Fig. 2). As shown in Table 2, 8 miRNAs (miR-659-3p, -27a-3p, -99a-5p, -21-
6 5p, -205-5p, -100-5p, -29c-3p, and -125b-1-3p) were significantly upregulated (>3-fold) and 2 miRNAs
7 (miR-4252 and -5004-5p) were significantly downregulated (<1/3 or lower) in type 1 AIP patients when
8 compared with HCs. These tendencies were found between AIPs and CPs but not between CPs and HCs
9 (Table2). Microarray expression profiling is available on NCBI's GEO
10 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>); the data accession number, GSE128508.

11 ***Quantitative evaluation of EV miRNA expression level by RT-PCR***

12 qRT-PCR was used to verify the data obtained from microarray analysis. The relative expression levels of
13 10 miRNAs were analyzed using the miScript SYBER Green PCR kit and normalized to those of spike-in
14 cel-miR-39 (Fig. 3). The expression level of miR-21-5p was significantly higher in type 1 AIP patients
15 than in HCs (P=0.04) and tended to be higher in type 1 AIP patients than in CP patients (P=0.05). The
16 miR-27a-3p and miR-100-5p expression level in type 1 AIP patients was higher than that in CP patients

1 (miR-27a-3p; P=0.58, miR-100-5p; P=0.21) or HCs (miR-27a-3p; P=0.48, miR-100-5p; P=0.19),
2 respectively; however, the difference was not statistically significant. There was no significant difference
3 in the expression levels of miR-29c-3p between type 1 AIP, CP, and HCs (P= 0.21; type 1 AIP vs CPs)
4 (P=0.28; type 1 AIP vs HCs). We were unable to obtain normal amplification curves for miR-659-3p,
5 miR-99a-5p, miR-205-5p, miR-100-5p, miR-125b-1-3p, miR-4252, and miR-5004-5p.

6 ***Relationship between miR-21 expression level and clinical features***

7 There were no positive correlation between miR-21-5p and clinical data on WBC, IgG, IgG4, IgE, CRP,
8 P-Amy, CEA, and CA19-9 (data not shown).

9 ***Diffuse pancreatic distribution of miR-21 in type 1 AIP***

10 The high expression of miR-21 in circulating EVs of type 1 AIP patients prompted us to examine miR-21
11 expression in the pancreas of type 1 AIP patients by in situ hybridization (ISH) using resected specimen
12 of type 1 AIP patients. ISH revealed that the expression of miR-21 in pancreatic duct epithelium was
13 similar between HCs, type 1 AIP and CP patients (Fig. 4A). However, miR-21 was highly expressed in
14 the pancreatic acinar cells (Fig. 4B) in type 1 AIP patients but not HCs. Interestingly, the expression of
15 miR-21 at pancreatic acinar cells and inflammatory cells was similar in both AIP and CP patients (Figure
16 4B-C). However, the ratio of miR-21 positive inflammatory cells per inflammatory site was significantly

1 higher in AIP patients than that in CP patients (Figure 4D). Hematoxylin and eosin staining of serial
2 section revealed that inflammatory cells in type 1 AIP specimens were mainly consist of lymphocytes
3 characterized by large nucleus and small cytosol (Fig. 4C). The expression patterns of miR-21 in HCs,
4 AIP and CP patients are summarized in Table 3.
5

1 **Discussion**

2 Extracellular vesicles play vital roles in the intercellular regulation of various biological processes ^{1,2,23}.
3 miRNA, which functions in the regulation the gene expression at the post-translational level, is one of the
4 key constituents of EVs ²⁴. Alterations in miRNA expressions are involved in the initiation or modulation
5 of multiple human diseases ²⁵⁻²⁸.

6
7 In this study, we demonstrated an altered pattern of EV miRNA population in AIP, with elevation of
8 miR-21-5p in AIP when compared with HCs. Furthermore, ubiquitous high expression miR-21 is evident
9 in pancreas of AIP patients including pancreatic ducts, acinar cells, and inflammatory cells. miR-
10 21 expression is also elevated in acinar cells of mice with acute pancreatitis and the expression level
11 correlates with the severity of disease ²⁹. In mice with chronic pancreatitis, miR-21 are exported in
12 pancreatic stellate cells derived EV ³⁰. It is well known that aberrant expression of miR-21 in pancreatic
13 ductal adenocarcinoma cells contribute to tumor progression and refractoriness leading to poor prognosis
14 ^{31,32}. In pancreatic duct, however, our findings showed that miR-21 expression was also detected in non-
15 pathogenic pancreata. Previous microarray study reported that miR-21 expression was approximately 3-
16 fold higher in pancreatic cancer cells than in normal pancreas ³³, implying that normal pancreatic ductal

1 cells modestly but constitutively express miR-21. Thus, we speculate that high expression of miR-21 in
2 circulating EVs of type 1 AIP is not due to invariable expression of miR-21 at pancreatic ductal epithelial
3 cells. Indeed, our data indicated that miR-21 was highly expressed in acinar cells and inflammatory sites
4 but not in non-pathogenic pancreata. Alcoholic chronic pancreatitis is featured by progressive destruction
5 of pancreatic parenchyma with fibrosis while inflammatory cell infiltration is generally sparse³⁴. In
6 contrast, type 1 AIP is histologically accompanied with a dense inflammatory cell infiltration
7 denominated as lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis³⁵. Although miR-21 expressing patterns of
8 inflammatory cells were comparable between type 1 AIPs and CPs, the number of inflammatory cell in
9 pancreatic tissues of type 1 AIP was enormous. Taken together, our findings suggest that high expression
10 of miR-21 in circulating EVs was the consequence of over expression of miR-21 at inflammatory cells in
11 type 1 AIP. It is of interest to note that serum EV mir-21-5p was recently reported to be three fold higher
12 in diabetic children than healthy children³⁶.

13

14 It is unlikely that the pathophysiology of type 1 AIP involves only a single specific mechanism^{18-20, 37}.

15 Both Th1 and Th2 cells are differentiated from CD4+ naïve T cells, which are regulated by cytokine.

16 Earlier work described a predominance of Th2 cytokines in Type 1 AIP, including IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13³⁸,

1 indicating that Th2 immune response plays a key role in the progression of type 1 AIP, although the
2 underlying mechanism of Th2 polarization in type 1 AIP has not been fully elucidated. miR-21 promotes
3 Th2 differentiation from Th0 and up-regulates Th2-related genes such as *Gata3* and *Il4*³⁹. Programmed
4 cell death 4 (*Pdcd4*), which is one of the miR-21 targets, induces activation of nuclear factor κ B (NF- κ B)
5 and suppresses IL-10⁴⁰. Moreover, miR-21 targets suppress intrinsic IL-12 secretion by dendritic cells⁴¹.
6 These signal and cytokine regulations together thereby can enhance Th2/Th1 ratio in type 1 AIP. In
7 chronic pancreatitis, however, EV miR-21 was not elevated compared with its level in type 1 AIP, while a
8 high expression was found in pancreatic tissues in our study. This discrepancy might be explained by the
9 difference in the pathophysiology between type 1 AIP and chronic pancreatitis. Pathophysiology of type 1
10 AIP is characterized by lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis (LPSP) with the dominant infiltrating
11 inflammatory cells being plasmacytes and CD4⁺ helper T cells. However, in chronic pancreatitis, cell-
12 mediated cytotoxicity by CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells and perforin-expressing CD56⁺ cells play an important
13 role in the pathogenesis of alcoholic chronic pancreatitis although the populations of CD4⁺ T and CD8⁺
14 T cells are almost equivalent⁴². Therefore, we speculate that the high expression of miR-21 in tissues, as
15 well as the resulting inflammatory milieu of the pancreas, is not disease-specific as it is caused by all
16 pancreatitis types, including type 1 AIP and CP. However, the high miR-21 expression in type 1 AIP

1 probably participates in the crosstalk between EVs in tissue and the periphery as miR-21 is also highly
2 expressed in circulating EVs, which together account for the Th2 predominance in type 1 AIP.
3
4 Pancreatic cancer is one of the most lethal malignant neoplasms with poor prognosis because most
5 patients are not indicated for surgery at diagnosis. Thus, a relevant biomarker has been long desired.
6 miR-21 is one of the most representative oncomiRs that have been frequently reported in various
7 malignant diseases⁴³⁻⁴⁶ including adenosquamous carcinoma of the pancreas⁴⁷ and pancreatic ductal
8 adenocarcinoma⁴⁸. In pancreatic cancer, miR-21 expression is not only locally upregulated in the
9 pancreatic tumor site⁴⁹ but also in the periphery⁵⁰. Studies have suggested that peripheral miR-21 as a
10 novel candidate predictor not only for diagnosis⁴⁸ but for prognosis⁵¹ and chemosensitivity⁵².
11 Carcinogenesis by miR-21 is orchestrated by targeting of tumor suppressors, cell cycle regulators, and
12 cellular apoptosis proteins such as PTEN, PDCD4, Sprouty, FOXO1, and SMAD7⁵³. In our study, miR-
13 21 expression was diffusely detected in the pancreatic tissue of both type 1 AIP and CP patients, while a
14 high expression of miR-21 in circulating EVs was detected in only type 1 AIP patients. Chronic
15 pancreatitis is risk factor of pancreatic cancer⁵⁴. Interestingly, in addition to its association with
16 pancreatic cancer, type 1 AIP has also been reported in patients with other cancer types^{55,56}. With the

1 circulatory nature of miR-21, these findings are consistent with the variations in the distribution of miR-
2 21 among type 1 AIP, CP, and pancreatic cancer. However, it is likely that the magnitude of miR-21
3 expression in the periphery and tissue of type 1 AIP patients is lower than that in those of pancreatic
4 cancer patients^{33, 49, 50}; hence we speculate that miR-21 more likely function as a modulator of the Th2
5 balance in type 1 AIP rather than as an oncomiR.

6 The present study reveals that circulating extracellular vesicles of patients with AIP contain high level of
7 miR-21, which might polarize Th2 immune balance. In addition, miR-21 are diffusely expressed in
8 pancreatic tissue especially at inflammatory sites and acinar cells of type 1 AIP. Together, these findings
9 suggest that miR-21 in pancreatic tissue, released to periphery via exosomes, is key pathway of
10 pathophysiology of type 1 AIP.

11

12 **Conflicts of interest**

13 The authors have no competing interests to declare.

14

15

16

1 **Acknowledgments**

2 This study was financially supported by (1) Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists JSPS KAKENHI Grant
3 Number JP16K19373, (2) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) of the Ministry of Culture and Science
4 of Japan (17877850), (3) the Research Program on Intractable Diseases, from the Ministry of Labor and
5 Welfare of Japan, (4) the Research Program from the Japan Medical Research and Development (AMED)
6 (17824893) , and (5) Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and
7 Technology of Japan from the CREST Japan Science and Technology Agency. We would like to thank
8 Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing. We also thank Ms. Nikki Phipps for support in
9 preparing this article.

10

11

1 **References**

- 2 1 Théry C, Zitvogel L, Amigorena S: Exosomes: Composition, biogenesis and function. *Nat*
3 *Rev Immunol* 2002; 2: 569-579.
- 4 2 Théry C, Ostrowski M, Segura E: Membrane vesicles as conveyors of immune responses. *Nat*
5 *Rev Immunol* 2009; 9: 581-593.
- 6 3 Caby MP, Lankar D, Vincendeau-Scherrer C, Raposo G, Bonnerot C: Exosomal-like vesicles
7 are present in human blood plasma. *Int Immunol* 2005; 17: 879-887.
- 8 4 Pisitkun T, Shen RF, Knepper MA: Identification and proteomic profiling of exosomes in
9 human urine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004; 101: 13368-13373.
- 10 5 Admyre C, Grunewald J, Thyberg J, Gripenbäck S, Tornling G, Eklund A et al.: Exosomes
11 with major histocompatibility complex class ii and co-stimulatory molecules are present in human bal
12 fluid. *Eur Respir J* 2003; 22: 578-583.
- 13 6 Admyre C, Johansson SM, Qazi KR, Filén JJ, Lahesmaa R, Norman M et al.: Exosomes with
14 immune modulatory features are present in human breast milk. *J Immunol* 2007; 179: 1969-1978.
- 15 7 Brouwer R, Vree Egberts WT, Hengstman GJ, Raijmakers R, van Engelen BG, Seelig HP et
16 al.: Autoantibodies directed to novel components of the pm/scl complex, the human exosome. *Arthritis*
17 *Res* 2002; 4: 134-138.
- 18 8 Kapsogeorgou EK, Abu-Helu RF, Moutsopoulos HM, Manoussakis MN: Salivary gland
19 epithelial cell exosomes: A source of autoantigenic ribonucleoproteins. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005; 52: 1517-
20 1521.
- 21 9 Song J, Kim D, Han J, Kim Y, Lee M, Jin EJ: Pbmcs and exosome-derived hotair is a critical
22 regulator and potent marker for rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Med* 2015; 15: 121-126.
- 23 10 Tomiya T, Yang GX, Zhao M, Zhang W, Tanaka H, Wang J et al.: The modulation of co-
24 stimulatory molecules by circulating exosomes in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Cell Mol Immunol* 2017; 14:
25 276-284.
- 26 11 Lee JY, Park JK, Lee EY, Lee EB, Song YW: Circulating exosomes from patients with
27 systemic lupus erythematosus induce an proinflammatory immune response. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2016; 18:
28 264.
- 29 12 Uchida K, Okazaki K: Clinical and pathophysiological aspects of type 1 autoimmune
30 pancreatitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2018; 53: 475-483.
- 31 13 SARLES H, SARLES JC, MURATORE R, GUIEN C: Chronic inflammatory sclerosis of the
32 pancreas--an autonomous pancreatic disease? *Am J Dig Dis* 1961; 6: 688-698.

1 14 Yoshida K, Toki F, Takeuchi T, Watanabe S, Shiratori K, Hayashi N: Chronic pancreatitis
2 caused by an autoimmune abnormality. Proposal of the concept of autoimmune pancreatitis. *Dig Dis Sci*
3 1995; 40: 1561-1568.

4 15 Shimosegawa T, Chari ST, Frulloni L, Kamisawa T, Kawa S, Mino-Kenudson M et al.:
5 International consensus diagnostic criteria for autoimmune pancreatitis: Guidelines of the international
6 association of pancreatology. *Pancreas* 2011; 40: 352-358.

7 16 Okazaki K, Uchida K, Koyabu M, Miyoshi H, Takaoka M: Recent advances in the concept
8 and diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis and igg4-related disease. *J Gastroenterol* 2011; 46: 277-288.

9 17 Tomiyama T, Uchida K, Matsushita M, Ikeura T, Fukui T, Takaoka M et al.: Comparison of
10 steroid pulse therapy and conventional oral steroid therapy as initial treatment for autoimmune
11 pancreatitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2011; 46: 696-704.

12 18 Watanabe T, Yamashita K, Fujikawa S, Sakurai T, Kudo M, Shiokawa M et al.: Involvement
13 of activation of toll-like receptors and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors in
14 enhanced igg4 responses in autoimmune pancreatitis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012; 64: 914-924.

15 19 Uchida K, Miyoshi H, Ikeura T, Shimatani M, Takaoka M, Okazaki K: Clinical and
16 pathophysiological issues associated with type 1 autoimmune pancreatitis. *Clin J Gastroenterol* 2016; 9:
17 7-12.

18 20 Fukuhara T, Tomiyama T, Yasuda K, Ueda Y, Ozaki Y, Son Y et al.: Hypermethylation of
19 mst1 in igg4-related autoimmune pancreatitis and rheumatoid arthritis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*
20 2015; 463: 968-974.

21 21 Shimosegawa T, Kataoka K, Kamisawa T, Miyakawa H, Ohara H, Ito T et al.: The revised
22 japanese clinical diagnostic criteria for chronic pancreatitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2010; 45: 584-591.

23 22 Feng Y, Huang W, Wani M, Yu X, Ashraf M: Ischemic preconditioning potentiates the
24 protective effect of stem cells through secretion of exosomes by targeting mec2 via mir-22. *PLoS One*
25 2014; 9: e88685.

26 23 Kosaka N, Iguchi H, Yoshioka Y, Takeshita F, Matsuki Y, Ochiya T: Secretory mechanisms
27 and intercellular transfer of micrnas in living cells. *J Biol Chem* 2010; 285: 17442-17452.

28 24 Valadi H, Ekström K, Bossios A, Sjöstrand M, Lee JJ, Lötvalld JO: Exosome-mediated transfer
29 of mrnas and micrnas is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. *Nat Cell Biol* 2007; 9:
30 654-659.

31 25 Kokkinopoulou I, Maratou E, Mitrou P, Boutati E, Sideris DC, Fragoulis EG et al.: Decreased
32 expression of micrnas targeting type-2 diabetes susceptibility genes in peripheral blood of patients and
33 predisposed individuals. *Endocrine* 2019.

1 26 Li M, Guo Q, Cai H, Wang H, Ma Z, Zhang X: Mir-218 regulates diabetic nephropathy via
2 targeting ikk-beta and modulating nk-kappab-mediated inflammation. *J Cell Physiol* 2019.

3 27 Lu Z, Li X, Xu Y, Chen M, Chen W, Chen T et al.: Microrna-17 functions as an oncogene by
4 downregulating smad3 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Death Dis* 2019; 10: 723.

5 28 Wang Y, Zhang P, Yuan M, Li X: Overexpression of mirna-21 promotes the proliferation and
6 invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma cells via suppressing smad7. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2019; 18:
7 1533033819878686.

8 29 Dixit AK, Sarver AE, Yuan Z, George J, Barlass U, Cheema H et al.: Comprehensive analysis
9 of microrna signature of mouse pancreatic acini: Overexpression of mir-21-3p in acute pancreatitis. *Am J*
10 *Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2016; 311: G974-G980.

11 30 Charrier A, Chen R, Chen L, Kemper S, Hattori T, Takigawa M et al.: Connective tissue
12 growth factor (ccn2) and microrna-21 are components of a positive feedback loop in pancreatic stellate
13 cells (psc) during chronic pancreatitis and are exported in psc-derived exosomes. *J Cell Commun Signal*
14 2014; 8: 147-156.

15 31 Moriyama T, Ohuchida K, Mizumoto K, Yu J, Sato N, Nabaie T et al.: Microrna-21 modulates
16 biological functions of pancreatic cancer cells including their proliferation, invasion, and
17 chemoresistance. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2009; 8: 1067-1074.

18 32 Paik WH, Kim HR, Park JK, Song BJ, Lee SH, Hwang JH: Chemosensitivity induced by
19 down-regulation of microrna-21 in gemcitabine-resistant pancreatic cancer cells by indole-3-carbinol.
20 *Anticancer Res* 2013; 33: 1473-1481.

21 33 Bloomston M, Frankel WL, Petrocca F, Volinia S, Alder H, Hagan JP et al.: Microrna
22 expression patterns to differentiate pancreatic adenocarcinoma from normal pancreas and chronic
23 pancreatitis. *JAMA* 2007; 297: 1901-1908.

24 34 Chari ST, Kloepfel G, Zhang L, Notohara K, Lerch MM, Shimosegawa T: Histopathologic
25 and clinical subtypes of autoimmune pancreatitis: The honolulu consensus document. *Pancreatology*
26 2010; 10: 664-672.

27 35 Okazaki K: Autoimmune pancreatitis and igg4-related disease: The storiform discovery to
28 treatment. *Dig Dis Sci* 2019; 64: 2385-2394.

29 36 Lakhter AJ, Pratt RE, Moore RE, Doucette KK, Maier BF, DiMeglio LA et al.: Beta cell
30 extracellular vesicle mir-21-5p cargo is increased in response to inflammatory cytokines and serves as a
31 biomarker of type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2018; 61: 1124-1134.

32 37 Miyoshi H, Uchida K, Taniguchi T, Yazumi S, Matsushita M, Takaoka M et al.: Circulating
33 naïve and cd4+cd25high regulatory t cells in patients with autoimmune pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 2008; 36:

1 133-140.

2 38 Zen Y, Fujii T, Harada K, Kawano M, Yamada K, Takahira M et al.: Th2 and regulatory
3 immune reactions are increased in immunoglobulin g4-related sclerosing pancreatitis and cholangitis.
4 *Hepatology* 2007; 45: 1538-1546.

5 39 Sawant DV, Wu H, Kaplan MH, Dent AL: The bcl6 target gene microrna-21 promotes th2
6 differentiation by a t cell intrinsic pathway. *Mol Immunol* 2013; 54: 435-442.

7 40 Sheedy FJ, Palsson-McDermott E, Hennessy EJ, Martin C, O'Leary JJ, Ruan Q et al.:
8 Negative regulation of tlr4 via targeting of the proinflammatory tumor suppressor pdcd4 by the microrna
9 mir-21. *Nat Immunol* 2010; 11: 141-147.

10 41 Murugaiyan G, Garo LP, Weiner HL: Microrna-21, t helper lineage and autoimmunity.
11 *Oncotarget* 2015; 6: 9644-9645.

12 42 Hunger RE, Mueller C, Z'graggen K, Friess H, Büchler MW: Cytotoxic cells are activated in
13 cellular infiltrates of alcoholic chronic pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1997; 112: 1656-1663.

14 43 Wang Q, Li P, Li A, Jiang W, Wang H, Wang J et al.: Plasma specific mirnas as predictive
15 biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis of glioma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2012; 31: 97.

16 44 Yang JS, Li BJ, Lu HW, Chen Y, Lu C, Zhu RX et al.: Serum mir-152, mir-148a, mir-148b,
17 and mir-21 as novel biomarkers in non-small cell lung cancer screening. *Tumour Biol* 2015; 36: 3035-
18 3042.

19 45 Xu J, Wu C, Che X, Wang L, Yu D, Zhang T et al.: Circulating micrnas, mir-21, mir-122,
20 and mir-223, in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma or chronic hepatitis. *Mol Carcinog* 2011; 50: 136-
21 142.

22 46 Wang B, Zhang Q: The expression and clinical significance of circulating microrna-21 in
23 serum of five solid tumors. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2012; 138: 1659-1666.

24 47 Silvestris N, Danza K, Longo V, Brunetti O, Fucci L, Argentiero A et al.: Angiogenesis in
25 adenosquamous cancer of pancreas. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 95773-95779.

26 48 Vychytilova-Faltejskova P, Kiss I, Klusova S, Hlavsa J, Prochazka V, Kala Z et al.: Mir-21,
27 mir-34a, mir-198 and mir-217 as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for chronic pancreatitis and
28 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Diagn Pathol* 2015; 10: 38.

29 49 Karamitopoulou E, Haemmig S, Baumgartner U, Schlup C, Wartenberg M, Vassella E:
30 Microrna dysregulation in the tumor microenvironment influences the phenotype of pancreatic cancer.
31 *Mod Pathol* 2017; 30: 1116-1125.

32 50 Abue M, Yokoyama M, Shibuya R, Tamai K, Yamaguchi K, Sato I et al.: Circulating mir-483-
33 3p and mir-21 is highly expressed in plasma of pancreatic cancer. *Int J Oncol* 2015; 46: 539-547.

- 1 51 Karasek P, Gablo N, Hlavsa J, Kiss I, Vychytilova-Faltejskova P, Hermanova M et al.: Pre-
2 operative plasma mir-21-5p is a sensitive biomarker and independent prognostic factor in patients with
3 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma undergoing surgical resection. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics* 2018; 15:
4 321-327.
- 5 52 Wang P, Zhuang L, Zhang J, Fan J, Luo J, Chen H et al.: The serum mir-21 level serves as a
6 predictor for the chemosensitivity of advanced pancreatic cancer, and mir-21 expression confers
7 chemoresistance by targeting fasl. *Mol Oncol* 2013; 7: 334-345.
- 8 53 Melnik BC: Mir-21: An environmental driver of malignant melanoma? *J Transl Med* 2015;
9 13: 202.
- 10 54 Malka D, Hammel P, Maire F, Rufat P, Madeira I, Pessione F et al.: Risk of pancreatic
11 adenocarcinoma in chronic pancreatitis. *Gut* 2002; 51: 849-852.
- 12 55 Shiokawa M, Kodama Y, Yoshimura K, Kawanami C, Mimura J, Yamashita Y et al.: Risk of
13 cancer in patients with autoimmune pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013; 108: 610-617.
- 14 56 Ikeura T, Miyoshi H, Shimatani M, Uchida K, Takaoka M, Okazaki K: Long-term outcomes
15 of autoimmune pancreatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; 22: 7760-7766.

16

17

1 **Figure legends**

2
3 **Fig. 1. Immunoblotting of extracellular vesicle markers in the circulating EVs from HCs and type 1**
4 **AIP patients.**

5 Circulating EVs collected from type 1 AIP and CP patients and HCs were analyzed by western blotting
6 with representative EV markers including CD81 (top), CD63 (upper-middle) and CD63 (lower-middle)
7 and negative marker including GM130 (bottom). These findings verified that circulating EVs have
8 exosome-like character.

9
10 **Fig. 2 Scatter plot of microRNA microarray for AIP patients and HCs.**

11 Scatter plot shows the 3-fold upregulated and downregulated microRNAs (the upper and lower dots
12 compared with the linear border, respectively) in type 1 AIP patients compared to those in HCs.

13 ,
14 **Fig. 3. Quantification of microRNA in circulating EVs by RT-PCR.**

15 Ratio of miRNA expression to Cel-miR-39 expression is shown for each miRNA selected from the result
16 of microarray analysis in AIPs (n=10), CPs (n=10) and HCs (n=10). Data are expressed as the mean \pm
17 SEM. Significant difference was found between AIPs and HCs in miR-21-5p (P=0.04).

18
19
20 **Fig. 4. miR-21 expression determined by in situ hybridization of pancreatic tissues in HCs, CP, and**
21 **type 1 AIP.** In situ hybridization of miR-21 in (A) pancreatic duct, (B) acinar cells, and (C) H &E

22 staining of type 1 AIP and CP . Bars indicate 50 μ m, Note the positive hybridization in the pancreatic
23 duct (A) and acinar cells (B) and presence of inflammatory sites with fibrosis (C) . H&E staining of type
24 1 AIP and CP indicates the enormous inflammatory cells in type 1 AIP (C). The number of inflammatory
25 cells expressed miR-21 in each inflammatory site of type 1 AIP and CP (D) are shown as mean \pm SD.

26 Data were obtained from 3 independent experiments.

1 Table 1 Clinical features

	AIP (n=23)	CP (n=23)	HC (n=23)
Age (range)	63.0 (49-80)	63.0 (50-81)	61.0 (33-83)
Gender (Female/Male)	4/19	8/15	10/13
Pancreatic swelling (Diffuse/Focal)	6/17	-	-
Other organ involvement (Sialoadenitis/Retroperitoneal fibrosis/None)	7/2/14	-	-
Serum IgG4 level (mg/dl, range)	584.5(32-1490)	-	-

2

1 Table 2. The ratio of 10 miRNAs in Table 2 in comparison among AIPs (n = 10), CPs (n = 10), and HCs
 2 (n = 10).

miRNA	Ratio		
	AIP vs. HC	AIP vs. CP	CP vs. HC
upregulated			
hsa-miR-659-3p	13.04	27.10	N/A
hsa-miR-27a-3p	4.96	2.98	1.78
hsa-miR-99a-5p	4.37	11.49	0.15
hsa-miR-21-5p	3.57	3.66	0.66
hsa-miR-205-5p	3.46	4.27	0.35
hsa-miR-100-5p	3.23	N/A	0.16
hsa-miR-29c-3p	3.04	3.35	N/A
hsa-miR-125b-1-3p	3.00	2.37	N/A
downregulated			
hsa-miR-4252	0.17	N/A	0.10
hsa-miR-5004-5p	0.33	0.50	N/A

3 N/A; not available

4

1 Table 3. miR-21 positive cells at pancreatic ducts and acinar cells

	AIP			CP			HC		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Pancreatic duct	+++	+	+++	++	+++	-	+++	++	+++
Acinar cell	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	-	-	+	-

2 MiR-21 positive sites: -, 0/9sites; +, 1-3/9sites; ++, 4-6/9sites; +++,7-9/9sites